	Case 2:14-cv-01152-GMN-NJK Document 6	67	Filed 08/10/15	Page 1 of 2	
1					
2					
3					
4					
5					
6					
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
8	DISTRICT OF NEVADA				
9	TERRICA IONES CA		`		
10	TERRIS R. JONES, SR.,)) Casa Na	2.14 01152 CMN NIIV	
11	Plaintiff(s),) Case No.	Case No. 2:14-cv-01152-GMN-NJK	
12	vs.) ORDER I PLAN	DENYING DISCOVERY	
13	LAS VEGAS VALLEY WATER DISTRICT, et al.,) FLAN	FLAN	
14	Defendant(s).) (Docket N	et No. 66)	
15			Ś		
16	Pending before the Court is Plaintiff's proposed discovery plan and scheduling order (Docket				
17	No. 66), which is hereby DENIED without prejudice. Plaintiff's proposed discovery plan is				
18	deficient in numerous ways. First, pursuant to Local Rule 26-1(d), the parties are required to submit				
19	a <u>stipulated</u> discovery plan and scheduling order fourteen days after the mandatory Fed. R. Civ. P.				
20	26(f) conference. The proposed discovery plan has not been stipulated to by Defendants. Second,				
21	the plan must state the date the first defendant answered or otherwise appeared. Local Rule 26-				
22	1(e)(1). The plan fails to do so.				
23	Third, Plaintiff's proposed discovery plan fails to include "a statement of the reasons why				
24	longer or different time periods should apply to the case." Local Rule 26-1(d). Local Rule 26-				
25	1(e)(1) establishes 180 days, measured from the date the first defendant answers or otherwise				
26	appears, as a presumptively reasonable time to complete discovery. Where more than 180 days of				
27	discovery are sought, the proposed discovery plan must provide an explanation why the parties				
28	believe additional time is required. Local Rule 2	26-1(d). Here, Plaintif	f requests 180 days from the	

1	date of the Order to Show Cause, without providing a reason why a longer time period should apply			
2	Docket No. 16, at 1. Fourth, Plaintiff's proposed discovery plan fails to include the deadline fo			
3	filing the interim status report. See Local Rule 26-2.			
4	Accordingly,			
5	Plaintiff's proposed discovery plan (Docket No. 66) is hereby DENIED without prejudice			
6	The parties are ORDERED to submit a stipulated discovery plan, that complies with the Loca			
7	Rules, no later August 17, 2015.			
8	IT IS SO ORDERED.			
9	DATED: August 10, 2015			
10				
11				
12	NANCY J. KOPPE United States Magistrate Judge			
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				

Case 2:14-cv-01152-GMN-NJK Document 67 Filed 08/10/15 Page 2 of 2